

Software

Open Access

AffyMAPSDetector: a software tool to characterize Affymetrix GeneChip™ expression arrays with respect to SNPs

Sunita Kumari¹, Lalit K Verma² and Jennifer W Weller*³

Address: ¹Department of Computer and Information Science, Indiana University Purdue University Indianapolis, Indianapolis, IN, 46202, USA, ²Eli Lilly and Company, Indianapolis, IN, 46285, USA and ³Computer Science/Bioinformatics program, University of North Carolina at Charlotte, Charlotte, NC, 28223, USA

Email: Sunita Kumari - skumari@iupui.edu; Lalit K Verma - lalit.verma@yahoo.com; Jennifer W Weller* - jweller2@uncc.edu

* Corresponding author

Published: 30 July 2007

Received: 24 January 2007

BMC Bioinformatics 2007, 8:276 doi:10.1186/1471-2105-8-276

Accepted: 30 July 2007

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2105/8/276>

© 2007 Kumari et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Background: Affymetrix gene expression arrays incorporate paired perfect match (PM) and mismatch (MM) probes to distinguish true signals from those arising from cross-hybridization events. A MM signal often shows greater intensity than a PM signal; we propose that one underlying cause is the presence of allelic variants arising from single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). To annotate and characterize SNP contributions to anomalous probe binding behavior we have developed a software tool called AffyMAPSDetector.

Results: AffyMAPSDetector can be used to describe any Affymetrix expression GeneChip™ with respect to SNPs. When AffyMAPSDetector was run on GeneChip™ HG-U95Av2 against dbSNP-build-123, we found 7286 probes (belonging to 2,582 probesets) containing SNPs, out of which 325 probes contained at least one SNP at position 13. Against dbSNP-build-126, 8758 probes (belonging to 3,002 probesets) contained SNPs, of which 409 probes contained at least one SNP at position 13. Therefore, depending on the expressed allele, the MM probe can sometimes be the transcript complement. This information was used to characterize probe measurements reported in a published, well-replicated lung adenocarcinoma study. The total intensity distributions showed that the SNP-containing probes had a larger negative mean intensity difference (PM-MM) and greater range of the difference than did probes without SNPs. In the sample replicates, SNP-containing probes with reproducible intensity ratios were identified, allowing selection of SNP probesets that yielded unique sample signatures. At the gene expression level, use of the (MM-PM) value for SNP-containing probes resulted in different Presence/Absence calls for some genes. Such a change in status of the genes has the clear potential for influencing downstream clustering and classification results.

Conclusion: Output from this tool characterizes SNP-containing probes on GeneChip™ microarrays, thus improving our understanding of factors contributing to expression measurements. The pattern of SNP binding examined so far indicates distinct behavior of the SNP-containing probes and has the potential to help us identify new SNPs. Knowing which probes contain SNPs provides flexibility in determining whether to include or exclude them from gene-expression intensity calculations; selected sets of SNP-containing probes produce sample-unique signatures.

AffyMAPSDetector information is available at http://www.binf.gmu.edu/weller/BMC_bioinformatics/AffyMapsDetector/index.html

Background

Affymetrix manufactures high-density oligonucleotide microarrays for transcript measurement, a platform known as the GeneChip™. Each gene is represented on a GeneChip™ by one or more sets of oligonucleotide probe pairs that have been designed to capture transcripts from a biological sample. A probeset consists of 11–16 probe pairs; each probe pair is made up of a perfect match (PM) and mismatch (MM) 25-mer oligonucleotide. The sequence of the PM probe is designed to be an exact complement of a segment of a transcribed portion of the gene to which the probe maps and is intended to allow quantification of the transcription level of that gene. The corresponding MM probe differs from the PM probe by a single base substitution (the homomeric transversion) at the 13th position. In the array design (chip information file) supplied by Affymetrix, the 13th position is called either the mismatch or interrogation position of the probe sequence. The original intent behind incorporating a MM probe for each PM probe was to provide a sensitive control for the identification and quantification of non-specific hybridization as a source of background signals [1,2]. However, several factors, such as the physical accessibility of probe-target interaction sites under experimental conditions and cross-hybridization from other alleles and other genes, may contribute to the MM readout being higher than the corresponding PM value. The relative importance of these contributing factors is currently unknown. One of our research goals is to better understand and characterize the factors that may lead to anomalous probe values. In this study, we focus on characterizing the presence of Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms (SNPs) as a source of probe readouts that do not reflect transcript concentration levels, and we investigate the effects on downstream results when one does not compensate for such occurrences.

Hybridization of labeled target to any probe is due to both fully and partially complementary sequences. Therefore, measurable signal results from both hybridization of the probe to the intended target and cross-hybridization to an unintended target. There is a substantial body of publications reporting on the probable sources of cross-hybridization from alternate sites in the genome, but not from alternate alleles of the same locus [3] which is what SNPs represent. Removal of cross-hybridizing probes is based on sequence similarity comparison data, but is not data driven in the sense of using measurements of PM and MM levels to search for the responsible binding partners. In many experiments, the data shows that for a significant number of probes the MM intensity exceeds the PM intensity. Since this violates the assumptions of good probe behavior, the common analysis strategy in this case is to eliminate these probe pairs from the dataset [4,5]. While this is reasonable when the cause can be rigorously

assigned to cross-hybridization, the reverse reasoning cannot be applied, that is, $MM \geq PM$ does not always result from cross-hybridization of sequences from different genes, and, as shown below, elimination of measurements based on this observation may incorrectly change the quantitation or call status outcome for particular genes.

In fact, the correct interpretation of MM data as a whole remains a topic of debate, and as yet there is no single consensus on how to handle background subtraction for Affymetrix microarrays. For instance, Zhou and Rocke [6] present a number of strategies addressing whether and when to use MM measurements as part of the background adjustment, but those strategies which do use the intensity of MM probes assume that non-specific hybridization is monitored. In the analyses described here, we chose to use certain procedures recommended by Affymetrix, the manufacturer of the data production platform. In brief, the Affymetrix probeset detection calling algorithm uses the MM intensity to estimate the stray signal. If the MM intensity is higher than the PM intensity, Affymetrix Microarray Suite 5.0 (MAS 5.0) flags the outcome as uninformative and computes an idealized version of the MM signal, which is then subtracted from the corresponding PM probe signal. This idealized version of a MM signal (IM) is always smaller than the corresponding PM signal [7]. To obtain the expression signal that is representative of a complete probeset, the MAS 5.0 algorithm compares signal intensities from the constituent PM and MM probes to classify the overall probeset measurement in a 'detection call' as being either 'Present' (P), 'Absent' (A), or 'Marginal' (M) [8]. The impact of MM signal handling on different analysis strategies will depend on their individual assumptions; for example, Robust Multi-chip Average (RMA) ignores any MM contribution to the expression intensity signal [9] and therefore will lose information when the alleles present bind most strongly to the MM probes.

Since a mismatch probe is the result of a single base difference between two sequences, the concept that probes might be detecting SNP alleles seemed a natural property to consider [10]. An individual might be heterozygous such that one allele binds to the PM and the other to the MM probe with high specificity and similar intensity ($PM = MM$), or homozygous for the allele matching a MM probe ($MM > PM$). Therefore, if not accounted for, the presence of two different alleles or the presence of only the allele complementary to the PM, will cause the relationship between the PM and MM pair to be inverted in the analysis, with subsequent misinterpretation [11]. In some cases neither the PM nor MM probe is the perfect complement of the allele present, in which case there will still be preferential binding that can lead to low levels of




Figure 2
AffyMAPSDetector process flow diagram. AffyMAPSDetector process workflow to retrieve SNPs and map them to the corresponding probes. GIF and PIF are "stylized" for brevity, showing only critical columns (actual files have additional columns that are not shown here).

the corresponding tuple to extract the following information from NCBI SNP and nucleotide databases [15,16]:

- RefSeq mRNA sequence of the gene referenced by gene accession number.
- List of SNP locations, if present, in the mRNA sequence for the {"representative public id", "locus link"} tuple.
- For each SNP, SNP details including: alleles, heterozygosity, SNP class, SNP position at (+)/(-) strand etc.

AffyMAPSDetector determines the sequence correspondence between the probe and target sequence segment, including comparison of the starting, middle, and end positions of each probe of the *i*th probeset with the corresponding mRNA sequence. It compares the probe's interrogation-position (based on the PIF) with the middle position in the matched mRNA segment. If the middle and the interrogation positions are not the same, the middle position is used as the reference 13th position in the

probe sequence. AffyMAPSDetector then uses the SNP-list for the *i*th probeset to check for the presence of SNPs at all possible positions in the probes in the *i*th probeset. Table 1 shows a subset of the SNP output file generated by AffyMAPSDetector using dbSNP as the source. Here, "Range-Min" and "Range-Max" correspond to the starting and the ending base-indices of the probe sequence with respect to the transcript sequence. Row number 4, corresponding to probeset 1341_at, shows an instance of the "interrogation" position (575) that does not agree with the current "middle position" (786) of the probe. Since Affymetrix probes are designed against "exemplar sequences" derived from transcript and EST sequences, it is always possible that, as transcript and EST databases evolve, a lack of correspondence between the 13th probe-position and the complementary mRNA segment will occur, leading to the lack of congruence between the probe and its intended target that we occasionally pick up.

AffyMAPSDetector generates five tab-delimited ASCII text files and a log file. The type of information contained in each file is described below:

- *Probes_With_SNPs.xls* file contains probes that are determined to contain documented SNPs at any position in the probe sequence.
- *Probes_Without_Snps.xls* file contains the list of genes and probesets for which no SNPs are found.
- *Genes_Without_Locus_Link.xls* file contains the list of those genes for which either LocusLink information is not provided in the gene-information file or for which AffyMAPSDetector cannot parse the LocusLink as a positive integer.
- *Genes_Info_From_Web.xls* file contains the mRNA sequences of genes that are collected by AffyMAPSDetector from the NCBI nucleotide database and used for determining the probe-positions within mRNA sequences.
- *Snps_Info_From_Web.xls* file contains additional information about probes with SNPs at the 13th and/or any

Table 1: Sample of SNP Detection output from AffyMAPSDetector.

Probeset	X	Y	Gene ID	Locus Link	Range Min	Interrogation	Middle Position	Range Max	Probe Sequence
1260_s_at	451	467	M16594	2939	500	512	512	524	AAGACTACCTTGTTGGCAACAAGCT
1261_i_at	348	549	M16595	2939	872	884	884	896	TACAACCTCCTATTACCCCACTTAGT
1341_at	499	313	X52056	6688	774	575	786	798	AGGACAAGGGCACCTTCCAGTTCTC
1347_at	341	471	S78187	994	2955	2967	2967	2979	GTACAGAAGCAGCTAAACCAAGGA

A subset of SNP detection output file generated by AffyMAPSDetector run on HG-U95Av2. Some of the columns have been omitted for brevity. Range Min is the where the probe starts on the transcript and Range Max is where it ends. Row 4 shows an instance where the interrogation position differs from the mismatch (middle) position.

other position. This includes: "Nucleotide Accession Number of Gene", "SNP position with respect to mRNA sequence", "dbSNP Reference Cluster ID – rs#", "Protein Accession Number", "Function", "SNP Class", "Heterozygosity", and "Allele".

- *Log.txt* file contains the output log messages resulting from AffyMAPSDetector execution. The log information is primarily useful for post-processing follow up, for example, in cases that are described by text such as "a given probe sequence was not found in the extracted mRNA sequence"; "program failed to extract mRNA sequence"; "SNPs not found for a given gene"; "program failed to find LocusLink for a gene" etc. This helps the user interpret the cause of missing values.

Results

AffyMAPSDetector results for dbSNP-build-123

AffyMAPSDetector can be used to characterize any Affymetrix Expression GeneChip™ with respect to SNPs if the underlying GIF and PIF files are set up appropriately. For the results reported here, the application was run against the NetAffx-supplied GIF and PIF files for HG-U95Av2 GeneChip™, the NCBI's SNP database (dbSNP-build-123) and the nucleotide database (GenBank/RefSeq). The GeneChip™ HG-U95Av2 contained a total of 199,084 probes belonging to 12,625 probesets (11,302 unique genes). Processing this chip using AffyMAPSDetector generated the five tab-delimited ASCII text files and log file described above. Output from AffyMAPSDetector for this array design run against dbSNP-build-123 is described below:

- *Probes_With_SNPs.xls* file: 7,286 probes were determined to contain documented SNPs. These probes belonged to 2,582 probesets (2,479 unique genes). To inspect this list, see additional file 1: 'Complete SNP output file'. Of the 7,286 probes, 325 probes had at least one SNP at the 13th position and 6,961 probes had one or more SNPs at positions other than the 13th position. To inspect this list see additional file 2: 'Probes having SNPs at mismatch location'.
- *Probes_Without_Snps.xls* file: The probes from 8,474 probesets (7,662 genes) did not have any documented (i.e. currently known) SNPs in the dbSNP-build-123. To inspect this list see additional file 3: 'Probesets without SNPs'.
- *Genes_Without_Locus_Link.xls* file: The GIF contained 1515 probesets (1,376 genes) that lacked LocusLink information. However, of the 1,515 probesets, AffyMAPSDetector was able to extract LocusLink information from the NCBI SNP website for 528 probesets (476 genes). Of the remaining 987 probesets (900 genes) on the chip, 146

genes were sourced from *The Institute of Genome Research* (TIGR), but without the corresponding NCBI Gene ID and locus link information it was not possible to query the NCBI server for related metadata. For the other 754 genes, LocusLink information was not available at the NCBI website at the time AffyMAPSDetector was run on HG-U95Av2. To inspect this list see additional file 4: 'Expression genotype'.

- *Genes_Info_From_Web.xls* file: This file contains mRNA sequences of 11,147 genes. To inspect this list see additional file 5: 'HG-U95Av2 genes mRNA sequence'.
- *Snps_Info_From_Web.xls* file: This file contains useful meta-information at the gene level, including "Nucleotide Accession Number", "GenBank GI Number", "LocusLink ID" and "Gene Description" corresponding to all 11,147 genes. This information is very helpful for subsequent analyses, such as examining the position effects of labeling strategies, or determining the exon membership of probes. To inspect this list see additional file 6: 'Additional SNP information for having SNPs'.

- *Log.txt* file: Sequences of the 15,269 probes belonging to 2,304 probesets (or 2,249 unique genes) that do not map to their corresponding genes' mRNA sequence at collected in this file. To inspect the results please see additional file 7: 'AffyMAPSDetector execution log'.

Recently, AffyMAPSDetector was run against the latest dbSNP version, build-126. Results obtained from dbSNP-build-123 and dbSNP-build-126 are summarized in Table 2. Result files from test HG-U95Av2 runs using dbSNP-build-123 and dbSNP-build-126, and for additional gene chips including HG-U133, MG-430A2, and RAE-230, using dbSNP-build-123, are available from the project home page.

We note that output results will change as dbSNP evolves and grows, and also that updates in the build files must be treated with caution. For example, one such update of the NCBI SNP database (dbSNP-build-124) caused a significant change in the results we obtained; in particular there was a marked decrease in the number of probes containing SNPs. Further investigation, and follow up with the curators at NCBI, identified the cause as an internal dbSNP error in transferring the data in the new build, and a recommendation to revert to dbSNP-build-123. Therefore, we strongly suggest that the users carefully date output files and include versions of the source databases and files used as references.

Experimental data set

In order to determine whether there is any significant effect due to the presence of SNP alleles on the analysis of

Table 2: Output results from AffyMAPSDetector run on HG-U95Av2.

	dbSNP -build-123	dbSNP-build-126
Number of probes that contain documented SNPs	7,286 probes from 2,582 probesets (or 2,479 unique genes)	8,758 probes from 3,002 probesets (or 2,858 unique genes)
Number of SNP containing probes involving 13th position	325 probes	409 probes
Number of SNP containing probes NOT involving 13th position	6,961 probes	8,349 probes
Number of SNP containing probes involving 13th position only	251 probes	332 probes
Number of SNP containing probes involving 13th position and atleast one more position	74 probes	77 probes
Number of probesets (or unique genes) without documented SNPs	8,474 probesets (or 7,662 unique genes)	9,450 probesets (or 8,533 unique genes)
Number of probes NOT mapped into their respective reference mRNA sequence	15,269 probes belonging to 2,304 probesets (or 2,249 unique genes)	16,753 probes belonging to 2,168 probesets (or 2,017 unique genes)

Comparison of the output results from AffyMAPSDetector run on HG-U95Av2 against dbSNP-build-123 and dbSNP-build-126.

an experimental dataset, the SNP identification results from AffyMAPSDetector were used to characterize the behavior of SNP-containing probes in a specific data set. Here, we used a HG-U95Av2 based lung adenocarcinoma dataset to examine the impact of discriminating SNP-containing probes [17,18] from non-SNP containing probes. This dataset contained 190 CEL files corresponding to 139 unique lung adenocarcinoma positive samples (47 out of 139 had two additional replicates). DNA-Chip Analyzer (dChip version 1.3) [19,20] was used to retrieve PM and MM intensity values corresponding to all probes in the 190 CEL files and these values were stored in a single large file, called the "Lung Adenocarcinoma Probe Data (LAPD)", available on the authors' project Web page.

SNPs and probe intensity distribution

Since the samples were not genotyped, we did not know what SNPs were present in a particular sample. At the same time, the SNPs in dbSNP must be present in a minimal frequency in the test population, so it is reasonable to assume that some of them occurred in this relatively large experimental sample. In order to determine whether SNP-containing probes as a group behaved similarly to the single-allele detecting probes, we compared the intensity distribution of expression values for the following two sets of 325 probes:

1. Probes with SNPs: This group included 325 probes that had a SNP at the 13th position.
2. Probes without SNPs: Probes in this group were randomly selected from the set of probes without any known SNPs. Several such sets were created, with similar outcomes each time.

For each group, the intensity differences i.e. the (PM-MM) values were extracted from LAPD into a two dimensional

matrix of size 325x190. The values were plotted using Matlab v7 (Mathworks™) and the distributions compared for mean and standard deviation from the mean. As seen in Figures 3A and 3B, intensity frequency distributions of these two datasets indicate distinct differences in both the mean and variance of the distributions of these two groups.

SNPs and PM and MM probe binding efficiency

To explore the effect of SNPs on target binding efficiencies for PM and MM probes, we decided to compare adjacent probes on a transcript, where one probe was predicted to contain a SNP and the second was not. We initially focused on probes with one SNP at the 13th position since they provided the least ambiguous case for analysis. However, in order to assess global properties we later expanded the criterion to include all SNP-containing probes. For a measurement to be valid the intensity must fall within a particular scanner range. Therefore, we selected the probes for which the PM and MM signal intensities in the 190 CEL files satisfied the requirement that the intensity fell in the linear measurement range, i.e. in the range [200 to 30,000 fluorescent units]. Results show a number of genes for which there was a great similarity in PM and MM values across all probes in a probeset, except at a SNP-containing probe. One such example is shown in Figures 4A and 4B, using dChip graphical output to compare and contrast the possible effect of a SNP on the expression levels of human ribosomal protein *S10*. The results for two different samples are shown: Sample-1 (AD249T1_A165_4; CL2001032617AA) and Sample-2 (AD335T2_A281_10; CL2001032008AA) from the lung adenocarcinoma study. In this figure it can be seen that for 15 of the 16 probes in probeset *31568_at* (which represents *S10* on this chip) the intensity of PM>MM, and the intensity difference (PM-MM) in each probe is consistent between the two samples. This consistency is violated for




Figure 3
Intensity distribution comparison of probes with and without SNPs. A) Intensity distributions of HG-U95Av2 probes with SNPs (a set of 325 probes). B) Intensity distributions of HG-U95Av2 probes without SNPs (a set of 325 probes).

the ninth probe, where SNP results from AffyMAPSDetector indicate that the ninth probe (P_9) in probeset 31568_at has a SNP at the 13th position. One consequence is that, since dChip output for the gene for Sample-1 shows probe 9 with $PM > MM$ intensity, it includes the P_9 response in the computation of the 'Presence' call as well as in further downstream gene expression data analysis (Figure 4A). However, dChip output for this gene for Sample-2 has $MM > PM$ intensity for probe 9, so it will not be used in the computation of the Presence call, and the intensity contribution will be replaced by one using an

idealized background calculation, which modifies the total concentration calculation for the second sample (Figure 4B).

For more examples of genes in which probepairs showed this behavior in samples in this experiment, please see additional files 8 and 9: 'Behavior of SNP-containing probes with respect to PM and MM binding efficiencies.'

Alteration of probeset detection call using PM/MM swap

Despite the example above, it is possible that a small change in intensity in one probe pair, averaged over 16 probe pairs, would have a very minor impact on the outcome, reflected either in the total intensity of the gene or in the Presence/Absence call of the gene. For this analysis we focused on the subset of SNP-containing probes that have a SNP at the 13th position. For a randomly chosen sample (CL2001031611AA), the corresponding CEL file was modified by swapping PM and MM values for those samples where the probes containing SNPs had $MM > PM$, to study the effect on Presence/Absence calls of the genes. The program dChip was used to explore the effect of this exchange on the probeset detection call. To make the comparison, both the modified CEL file and the original (unmodified) CEL file were loaded into dChip simultaneously, thus simulating a comparison of two different samples/experiments. We found that, for some probesets, allowing for the presence of SNPs at the 13th position by using the MM intensity in place of the PM intensity altered the probeset detection call (changing it from 'Absent' to 'Present' or 'Marginal' to 'Present'). In particular, the detection call for probesets 1486_at and 34345_at changed from 'Absent' to 'Present' and for the probeset 37746_r_at the result was a change from 'Marginal' to 'Present'. To see the complete list of results see additional file 10: 'Examples of probes affecting probeset detection calls.' In these cases the genes will now have to be included in gene lists for downstream analyses such as differential expression estimation and clustering.

Expression signature pattern analysis

The most common use of SNPs is to genotype individuals. The types of measurements available from gene expression arrays make it difficult to extract genotype information because expression level differences of each allele are layered on the genotype differences. It was not clear whether or not a sample genotype expression signature would emerge from GeneChip data. Since the ratio of PM to MM values was to be used and dividing by a small number inflates such a ratio, in the next experiment we required that intensity levels of both the PM and MM probes be at least 200 fluorescent units. We organized PM/MM ratio values as an $[n \times m]$ matrix in a tab delimited ASCII text file, where each row corresponded to a probe/gene of interest (i.e. a SNP-containing probe) and




Figure 4
Effect of SNP on PM and MM probe binding efficiency. The left panels show the perfect match (PM, in blue) and mismatch (MM, in green) whereas the right panels show another view of PM (top row) and MM (bottom row) values across the probes in the same probe-set 31568_at and for the same gene S10. Probe 9 (from left to right), SNP containing probe, is the probe of interest, highlighted in red bounding box. A) For the sample – CL2001032617AA, dChip output for the gene S10 shows better binding of probe 9 with PM [P₉(PM)] as compared to MM [P₉(MM)]. B) For the sample – CL2001032008AA, dChip output for the gene S10 shows better binding of probe 9 with MM [P₉(MM)] as compared to PM [P₉(PM)].

each column corresponded to a sample/chip of interest. We tuned for measurement reproducibility based on duplicate samples present in the experiment, and then binned the results into three categories, PM/MM ≥ 1, PM/MM ≈ 1, PM/MM ≤ 1. A heat-map type viewer was used for visualization of the results as shown in Figure 5; ratios of PM/MM > 1 are represented in red, PM/MM < 1 are represented in green, and PM/MM ≈ 1 are represented in yellow, with increasing color saturation as the ratio values increase. After removing the probes/genes that do not vary across the sample population, three classes of interest were identified:

1. Class A: samples in which "allele A is over-expressed compared to allele B."
2. Class B: samples in which "allele B is over-expressed compared to allele A."
3. Class A/B: samples in which "alleles A and B are equally expressed."

Based on the patterns of the classes, it was possible to select a subset of SNP-containing probes that uniquely identified each sample; reproducibility was confirmed by

using duplicate samples as the test set. All duplicates were correctly identified and none of the unique samples had exactly the same pattern. See additional data file 11: 'SNP-containing probes' PM/MM ratio data file for expression genotype' as an example of the dataset that was used for expression genotyping.

Software testing

AffyMAPSDetector was run on a Windows XP (Professional version 2002) platform with 1.6 GHz Intel® Pentium® M processor and 2GB RAM, on a Windows 2000 platform with 1.8 GHz Intel Pentium processor and 1.5GB of RAM, and on a second Windows 2000 platform with 1.6 GHz Pentium processor and 1 GB of RAM. It was observed that in each case AffyMAPSDetector took about six hours to finish processing 12,625 probesets housed on HG-U95Av2. Actual execution time will vary depending on factors such as the machine's processing power, size of the chip, internet bandwidth, and network traffic to the NCBI server. AffyMAPSDetector compiled and source codes are available as: additional data file 12 'AffyMAPSDetector v1 distribution package (compiled code)', and additional data file 13 'AffyMAPSDetector v1 source code'. These files are also available for download from the authors' project Web page.

Discussion

The analysis of Affymetrix GeneChip™ expression arrays is quite complex because of the various factors contributing to the intensity measurements. Among the most commonly explored problems are those of cross-hybridization caused by other sites in the genome [21] and probe assignment inconsistencies that occur over time due to changing gene annotations [22]. Here, we have identified probes that are a potential source of signal assignment errors, either due to changes in the underlying sequence that we have identified, or due to the presence of alternate alleles arising from SNPs. Our software identifies:

1. Probes that no longer provide measurements for the gene that they were designed to represent.
2. Probes that measure targets known to have one or more SNPs, leading to the potential for confounding the PM and MM signal intensities and the relationship between the two in a sample.

AffyMAPSDetector results show that 15,269 (~7.7%) of the HG-U95Av2 probes do not actually map to the corresponding gene sequence. Table 3 shows the summary statistics of such probes for human, mouse, and rat gene chips. While not the AffyMAPSDetector's primary focus, the supporting data is collected during the normal course of processing, and can be used to compile the corresponding statistics by parsing the output log. These probes may




Figure 5
Expression Genotype. An instance of a PM/MM ratio data file from adenocarcinoma positive sample-replicates loaded into the heat-map viewer for expression signature pattern analysis. Removal of unchanging probes from the expression signature pattern and tuning of an equivalency parameter (E) to adjust for measurement precision differences leaves a dataset that can be classed as A [PM/MM < (1.0 - E)], class B [PM/MM > (1.0 + E)] or class A/B [PM/MM between (1.0 ± E) inclusive]. On the right, the table shows the data state as it goes through expression signature pattern steps.

be simply flagged and excluded from analyses or may be a source of interest in themselves. Here we have chosen to examine the effect of excluding them, since the focus of our interest was to study the effect of SNPs on the interpretation of gene intensity values. Of the remaining ~92.3% probes, ~3.7% (7,286) contain dbSNP-characterized SNPs. Among these, one group of 325 probes has SNPs present at the 13th position while the other group of 6,961 probes has one or more SNPs at some position other than the 13th position. The first group provides a simpler set for interpretation of the experimental results, since in this case the SNP position coincides with the mismatch position between the PM and MM probes.

In Figures 4A and 4B, where dChip graphical output was used to compare and contrast the possible effect of a SNP on the expression levels of human ribosomal protein *S10* in two different samples, dChip flags P9 probe as an outlier (assuming that anomalous binding is due to either signal-saturation or cross-hybridization) and replaces the actual MM signal intensity value with a computed value in determining the 'Presence' call. This may adversely affect the quality of subsequent downstream analysis since the intensity value assigned to the gene changes as well. Although other researchers have produced lists of cross-hybridizing probes using different criteria for an acceptable match [21] and this probe did not appear on those

Table 3: Inconsistencies in probe to gene annotations.

Gene Chip	Total no. of probes	Total no. of un-mapped probes	Un-mapped probes belonging to		% Un-mapped probes
			Total no. of Probe sets	Total no. of Genes	
HG-U95Av2	199,084	15,269	2,304	2,249	7.67
HG-U133A	247,965	7,735	1,853	1,842	3.12
MG-430A2	249,958	7,237	1,538	1,351	2.9
Rat-230A	175,477	1,999	559	543	1.14

Probe level statistics based on AffyMAPSDetector log results for probes that do not actually represent the gene that they were designed to represent (un-mapped probes).

lists, we independently checked for cross hybridization with transcripts from genes other than *S10*. We examined the BLAST hit results for the P_9 sequence against the NCBI non-redundant nucleotide database "nr". The BLAST results indicate that the P_9 sequence has no significant cross-hybridization with currently known expressed sequences. Therefore, for Sample-2, the observation that $P_9(MM) > P_9(PM)$ can be best explained by the presence of a SNP at the 13th position in this sample. Given that multiple alleles are represented in the population of samples, there are several associated consequences. First, the gene-quantitation results for the first sample will be altered by the intensity value contributed by this probe (for example, alteration in the results of fold-changes and clustering in the intensity-based methods). Second, a comparison of the gene expression between these two samples will be altered. Third, a potentially useful piece of information about the presence of a genotypic variant will be lost.

If SNP-containing probes are generally different in their behavior from non SNP-containing probes, then genes with such probes might cluster differently than they otherwise would based solely on the intensity differences. We quantitatively analyzed the intensity distribution of probes using the (PM-MM) difference that is customary when MM values are taken into account. The intensity profiles differ in both mean and variance, indicating that different properties are being measured by the two types of probes and therefore significant information may be lost if the analyst ignores SNP-containing probes in this dataset. The intensity values in the probes with SNPs were spread over a much wider range and the overall distribution was shifted towards negative values when compared to the intensities of the set of probes without SNPs, implying that the MM signal is greater than the PM signal for the 'average' probe pair in this group. In this dataset, the impact of SNP-containing probes is to decrease gene intensity estimates for genes that have such probes as compared to the genes without such probes. Unless samples are SNP qualified, our recommendation for the

downstream intensity computation algorithms such as MAS5 and RMA is to remove the SNP-containing probes. In our analysis, we confirmed the repeatability of observed intensity distribution profile difference between the probes with/without SNPs by generating plots similar to Figure 3 for three independent selections of non-SNP containing probes. These results are contained in additional file 14: 'Intensity distribution profiles confirmation.'

Although the presence of SNP-containing probes has the potential to degrade the quality of gene expression data, we considered whether these measurements might be useful in their own right. Simultaneous (but non-identical) expression of both alleles of a gene has been observed by others [12]; we were interested in determining whether it is possible to identify allelic expression signatures of the samples using SNP-containing probe pairs. We performed an analysis to build allelic expression signatures using only those probes containing SNPs and showed that duplicate samples could be uniquely identified based on simple binning of expression ratios. We are in the process of developing a second program to perform more complete expression genotyping analyses, including a component for compensating for the different types and frequencies of SNP alleles.

Conclusion

We present a tool that can be used to supplement the annotations provided for probes on the Affymetrix GeneChip™ platform. The SNP detection results from AffyMAPSDetector can be effectively used in the data analysis phase of a microarray experiment. In our study, the SNP results were found to be significant since the SNP-containing probes show behavior consistently distinct from the non-SNP containing probes when evaluated in terms of both the intensity distribution of each probe class and the contribution each class of probe has on the determination of individual transcript presence or intensity by several programs. The SNP annotation information can be used by researchers to assign a physical reason for the measured

behavior of some of the MM probes. This information permits researchers to choose explicitly whether and how to include intensity estimates from these probes in the overall gene expression value for a probeset. Since SNP-containing probes behave differently from those without SNPs, separating the two for a given analysis may improve gene association and disease classification studies. As investigators use microarray experiments to study the intricate relationships and complex interactions between the molecular species in a biological system, we believe that the corresponding data-analysis or data-mining strategies will require processing of the data using multiple approaches. We have demonstrated the importance of one such approach in the interpretation of results from our research and we hope that it will serve others in a similar fashion.

We are currently in the process of extending the AffyMAPSDetector tool to produce explicit information about the cross-hybridization potential for every probe across the target genome including any characterized sequence variants, incorporating Nearest Neighbor estimates for the stability of all SNP-based alleles. We are also extending the AffyMAPSDetector utility set to allow assessment of GeneChip™ probe layouts in order to locate the probes with SNPs, probes without SNPs, any error prone probes that are not found to map to the corresponding gene's sequence, and potentially cross-hybridizing probes on the chip along with integrated context-sensitive annotations.

AffyMAPSDetector code and documentation distribution is open source under the GPL license and is available on the project home page. It can be readily modified to run locally if appropriate databases are set up correctly. This approach will allow the user to include proprietary information about SNPs in the analysis. We recommend regenerating the AffyMAPSDetector output files when source databases are updated. We have posted copies of the files generated for this report at the project home page. These can be downloaded by anyone interested in using the information to flag probes present in Affymetrix GeneChip designs without running the program. Although all reasonable efforts have been made to ensure the accuracy and reliability of the software and data, the changing nature of data sources and user specific configuration make it impossible for the authors to warrant the performance and/or results that may be obtained by using the software or data. The authors disclaim all warranties as to performance, merchantability or fitness of output of the software for any particular purpose. In any work or product derived from this material, proper attribution of the authors as the source of the software or data should be made.

Availability and Requirements

- **Project name:** AffyMAPSDetector
- **Home page:** http://www.binf.gmu.edu/weller/BMC_bioinformatics/AffyMapsDetector/
- **Operating system(s):** Platform independent
- **Programming language:** Java
- **Other requirements:** Sun Java™ 2 Runtime Environment (JRE), Standard Edition, 1.4+; 256 MB or Higher RAM; about 300MB of free hard disk space.
- **License:** GNU GPL <http://www.gnu.org/licenses/gpl.txt>
- **Availability:** Source code available from the project home page.
- **Any restrictions to use by non-academics:** None

Abbreviations

AffyMAPSDetector: Affymetrix Microarray Probe SNP Detector

ASCII: American Standard Code for Information Interchange

CDF: Chip Definition File

dbSNP: NCBI SNP database

GIF: NetAffx Gene Information File

GUI: Graphical User Interface

IM: Algorithmically computed Ideal Mismatch intensity value

LAPD: Lung Adenocarcinoma Probe Data (dataset from lung adenocarcinoma study)

MAS 5.0: Affymetrix Microarray Suite version 5

MM: Mismatch Probe

PIF: NetAffx Probe Information File

PM: Perfect Match Probe

RMA: Robust Multi-chip Average

SNP: Single Nucleotide Polymorphism

UML: Unified Modeling Language

Authors' contributions

JW formulated the main idea of systematically identifying SNP-containing probes in GeneChip™ expression arrays. SK and LKV conceived the idea of automating the process of characterizing GeneChip™ probes with respect to SNPs, designed and implemented SNP-detection/data-analysis algorithms, AffyMAPSDetector tool. SK and LKV also performed the data analysis work with constant insights and critiques from JW. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

Additional material

Additional file 1

Complete SNP output file. The listing of SNP-containing probes generated by AffyMAPSDetector for HG-U95Av2.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S1.xls>]

Additional file 2

Probes having SNP at mismatch location. The listing of probes having a SNP exactly the 13th position in the probe sequence. It also includes the probes that have SNPs at other position in addition to 13th position.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S2.xls>]

Additional file 3

Probesets without SNPs. The listing of all HG-U95Av2 probesets, none of whose probes were found to contain any documented SNPs.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S3.xls>]

Additional file 4

Genes with undefined LocusLink. The listing of all HG-U95Av2 genes/probesets for which either LocusLink was not defined (typically genes sourced from TIGR) or AffyMAPSDetector couldn't disambiguate the given LocusLink information.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S4.xls>]

Additional file 5

HG-U95Av2 genes mRNA sequence. The listing of HG-U95Av2 genes mRNA sequence extracted by AffyMAPSDetector from NCBI nucleotide database. Since size of this file is more than 80 mega bytes, only a truncated version of this file is provided here (less than 10 mega bytes – BMC upper limit). However, complete file can be obtained from the project home page.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S5.xls>]

Additional file 6

Additional SNP information for Probes having SNPs. This file contains additional SNP information, extracted by AffyMAPSDetector from NCBI dbSNP, for probe having SNPs.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S6.xls>]

Additional file 7

AffyMAPSDetector execution log. This file contains useful information logged by AffyMAPSDetector during HG-U95Av2 run.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S7.txt>]

Additional file 8

Behavior of SNP-containing probes with respect to PM and MM binding efficiencies. This file presents examples of SNP-containing probes that affect PM and MM binding efficiencies in a tabular form with hyperlinks to dChip graphical images. Due to the large volume of data and size of the image files, this file is one of two parts. Each file, 8 and 9, unzips into its respective folder. Underneath each folder, you would see "effectOfSNPsOnExpression.html" file and "dChipGraphics" folder containing 71 image files in .emf format in each folder. For hyperlinks to work properly in "effectOfSNPsOnExpression.html" file, make sure that all image files reside together underneath one "dChipGraphics" folder (a total of 142 .emf files).

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S8.zip>]

Additional file 9

Behavior of SNP-containing probes with respect to PM and MM binding efficiencies. This file presents examples of SNP-containing probes that affect PM and MM binding efficiencies in a tabular form with hyperlinks to dChip graphical images.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S9.zip>]

Additional file 10

Example of probes affecting probeset detection calls. This file contains some examples of SNP-containing probes that affect probeset detection call.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S10.doc>]

Additional file 11

SNP-containing probes' PM/MM ratio data file for expression genotype. An instance of a PM/MM ratio data file from adenocarcinoma positive sample-replicates that can be loaded into the data visualization utility for expression signature pattern analysis.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S11.xls>]

Additional file 12

AffyMAPSDetector v1 distribution package (compiled code). AffyMAPSDetector v1 distribution package (compiled code).

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S12.zip>]

Additional file 13

AffyMAPSDetector v1 source code. AffyMAPSDetector source code.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S13.zip>]

Additional file 14

Intensity distribution profiles' confirmation. The set of files in this archive contain data analysis experiment results on lung adenocarcinoma dataset to confirm repeatability of intensity distribution patterns differences between probes with SNPs and probes without SNPs.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-2105-8-276-S14.zip>]

Acknowledgements

The authors wish to thank Hrishikesh Deshmukh for testing the software; Satyendra Kumar, Vivek Kumar and Cynthia Gibas for reviewing the manuscript and the AffyMAPSDetector website.

References

1. **Affymetrix gene expression data analysis fundamentals manual** [http://www.affymetrix.com/support/downloads/manuals/data_analysis_fundamentals_manual.pdf]
2. **Affymetrix expression array data analysis technical manual** [http://www.affymetrix.com/support/technical/manual/expression_manual.affx]
3. Harbig J, Sprinkle R, Enkemann SA: **A sequence-based identification of the genes detected by probesets on the Affymetrix U133 plus2.0 array.** *NAR* 2005, **33**(3):e31.
4. Li C, Wong WH: **Model-based analysis of oligonucleotide arrays: expression index computation and outlier detection.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001, **98**(1):31-36.
5. Wright G, Tan B, Rosenwald A, Hurt EH, Wiestner A, Staudt LM: **A gene expression-based method to diagnose clinically distinct subgroups of diffuse large B cell lymphoma.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2003, **100**(17):9991-9996.
6. Zhou L, Rocke DM: **An expression index for Affymetrix GeneChips based on the generalized logarithm.** *Bioinformatics* 2005, **21**(21):3983-3989.
7. **Affymetrix: New statistical algorithms for monitoring gene expression on GeneChip® probe arrays.** In *Technical Notes* Santa Clara: Affymetrix; 2001.
8. Liu WM, Mei R, Di X, Ryder TB, Hubbell E, Dee S, Webster TA, Harrington CA, Ho MH, Baid J, Smeekens SP: **Analysis of high density expression microarrays with signed-rank call algorithms.** *Bioinformatics* 2002, **18**(12):1593-1599.
9. Irizarry RA, Hobbs B, Collin F, Beazer-Barclay YD, Antonellis KJ, Scherf U, Speed TP: **Exploration, Normalization, and Summaries of High Density Oligonucleotide Array Probe Level Data.** *Biostatistics* 2003, **4**(2):249-264.
10. Lemieux B: **High throughput single nucleotide polymorphism genotyping technology.** *Current Genomics* 2000, **1**(4):301-311.
11. Ji W, Zhou W, Gregg K, Yu N, Davis S, Davis S: **A method for cross-species gene expression analysis with high-density oligonucleotide arrays.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 2004, **32**(11):93.
12. Lo HS, Wang Z, Hu Y, Yang HH, Gere S, Buetow KH, Lee MP: **Allelic variation in gene expression is common in the human genome.** *Genome Res* 2003, **13**:1855-1862.
13. **The Java Virtual Machine and the Java Runtime Environment** [<http://java.sun.com/j2se>]
14. **Affymetrix support center** [<http://www.affymetrix.com/support/technical/byproduct.affx?product=hgu95>]
15. **NCBI SNP database** [<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/SNP/>]
16. **NCBI nucleotide database** [<http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/entrez/>]
17. Bhattacharjee A, Richards WG, Staunton J, Li C, Monti S, Vasa P, Ladd C, Beheshti J, Bueno R, Gillette M, Loda M, Weber G, Mark EJ, Lander ES, Wong W, Johnson BE, Golub TR, Sugarbaker DJ, Meyerson M: **Classification of human lung carcinomas by mRNA expression profiling reveals distinct adenocarcinoma subclasses.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001, **98**(24):13790-13795.
18. **Supplementary data for the human lung adenocarcinoma study** [<http://www.broad.mit.edu/mpr/lung/>]. <http://research.dfci.harvard.edu/meyersonlab/lungca/>
19. Li C, Wong WH: **DNA-Chip Analyzer (dChip).** In *The analysis of gene expression data: methods and software* Edited by: Parmigiani G, Garrett ES, Irizarry R, Zeger SL. New York: Springer; 2003:120-141.
20. **dChip Software Development page** [<http://biosun1.harvard.edu/complab/dchip/>]
21. Zhang J, Finney RP, Clifford RJ, Derr LK, Buetow KH: **Detecting false expression signals in high-density oligonucleotide arrays by an in silico approach.** *Genomics* 2005, **85**:297-308.
22. Perez-Iratxeta C, Andrade MA: **Inconsistencies over time in 5% of NetAffx probe-to-gene annotations.** *BMC Bioinformatics* 2005, **6**:183.

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:

http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp

